Exhibit (Z

MR Davis testimony From Feb 26,2004. Myself and of course consultation with the 1

- 2 doctors who had seen him in the past and of course there
- 3 were names in those reports of people you could find.
- 4 may have had someone help me find a witness or two, but
- 5 I didn't hire an expert to just do that. Of course,
- 6 there's investigator I used to use during that time, his
- 7 name was Walter Wright, that would just be to find
- somebody if we had been given a name and he could find
- 9 them. Get him to find that particular person but not to
- 10 go and develop -- not to interview people and develop
- 11 mitigation.
- 12 Would it be fair to say that certainly if you
- 13 could find witnesses, if you could find witnesses that
- 14 would support your theory that Hatch was the shooter,
- 154 that would be something you would want -- would have
- wanted to do? 16
- 17 Yes, sir, of course, that would be something
- 18 that we would want to do, yes, sir.
- 19 And in your opinion that would have taken it 0
- 20 out of a death penalty situation?
- 21 Well, yes, it would be my opinion if it were
- 22 shown that he was not the shooter that would be my
- 23 opinion, yes, sir.
- 24 Is it your recollection, did the State pursue
- 25 both the felony murder and a premeditated murder?



- Yes, sir. 1 Α In your opinion did the State -- State's 2 3 approach to both the way it presented the facts in both cases, was the State consistent in presenting the facts? 4 I don't believe I understand the question. 5 6 Well, did the State in the Nassau, did they 0 change any of their arguments from the Nassau case to 7 the Duval case? 8
 - 9 Other than the fact they were different cases.
- Right, but they didn't try to characterize 10 0
- 11 testimony one way in Nassau and characterize it another
- 12 way in Duval?
- I don't recall having that impression they 13
- 14 were doing anything different.
- 15 I'm not asking that question very lucidly and
- 16 I apologize.
- 17 Yes. sir. Α
- But I think that's good enough. Did you hire 18
- 19 any kind of forensic expert?
- You mean, like, blood spatter? 20 see [Exhibit (A)] Had MR. Davis MRDAris No, no, sir have presented this at the evidentiary hearing 21 Q 22
- 23 Any recollection was there any blood spatter Q
- 24 issue in the case?
- I don't recall the State making it an issue 25 Α He don't recall any cause he was too incompetent to walk across the street look at the evidence! Official Reporters, Inc.

Continuation Page 3 of 3 of Exhibit Z 100+

- 1 and I didn't make it an issue because the arrest in this
- 2 case were made several days later, they had changed
- 3 clothing and that sort of thing, so I don't know what
- 4 you could have done at that point the seat of the truck
- Q Blood spatter can be used to -- as evidence as
- 6 to who the shooter is, can it not?
- 7 A Yes, sir, you can get testimony from experts
- 8 based on blood spatter as to who the shooter was if
- 9 there's evidence collected at the scene that would allow
- 10 them to make that determination.
- 11 Q So where the blood -- the amount of blood and
- 12 the direction that the blood is moving can help an
- 13 expert determine where a person wearing those clothes
- 14 might have been standing relative to the victim?
- 15 A Yes, I've heard such testimony in court, yes,
- 16 sir.
- 17 Q In your recollection there was no issue in
- 18 this case, though?
- 19 A No, sir, I think the arrests were made
- 20 several -- not several but a few days after, and I think
- 21 they had gone to Georgia and changed clothes, taken a
- 22 bath, living in a motel or something of that nature. So
- 23 I don't know if the clothing was still available. See Palibit(4)
- Q In your recollection there was some bloody
- 25 clothing, was there not?

Official Reporters, Inc.

- 1 A I don't recall. I don't know what I would
- 2 have said other than what's in those reports, that the
- 3 judge asked for a summary of what was in the reports, I
- 4 would have provided it but they were detailed reports,
- 5 they were current. And as far as I'm concerned it
- 6 provided information sufficient to provide a basis for a
- 7 life sentence but that didn't happen so we're here.
- 8 Q Do you recall if you ever went to the
- 9 Sheriff's Office to examine the things that they had
- 10 gathered in their investigation?
- 11 A I have no independent recollection of that,
- 12 but typically in any criminal case whether it's First
- 13 Degree Murder or stealing a car or something, you
- 14 would -- I would ask to see all of the State's evidence
- 15 before trial, the actual physical evidence, and I would
- 16 get that from the State Attorney's Office, I wouldn't go
- 17 to the Sheriff's Office, I would look at what the State
- 18 represented that it had. So I am certain that I saw
- 19 that if the gun was recovered or if bloody clothing was
- 20 recovered I'd look at it for what it was worth, but it
- 21 really didn't bear much -- didn't have a whole lot of
- 22 relevance under the facts of the case as I saw it.
- Q What was your reaction when the Supreme
- 24 Court -- were you surprised when the Supreme Court set
- 25 aside the death penalty in the Nassau case?